

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,165	06/24/2002	Seizo Sunago	221181US3PCT	9703	
22850 7.	590 08/21/2006		EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND			DEAK, LESLIE R		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3761		
		DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/089,165	SUNAGO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leslie R. Deak	3761	

	Leslie R. Deak	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notia Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (by TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ∐ wi vided below or appended.	ii be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
9 11. Aug 6	TATYANA ZALUKAEV PRIMARY EXAMINER	. •	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060816

JA 16 Augolo

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant alleges that the amendment to claim 1 merely incorporates the limitations of cancelled claims 2-4 and 6. However, applicant includes in the last 3 lines of amended claim 1 functional limitations that were not reviously presented for examination. Such limitations, while present in the specification, were not read into the claims during examination. As such, the new limitations require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with regard to the patentability of the claims over the prior art of record are based on the presently-amended claims, which have not been entered.

With regard to applicant's arguments drawn to the double-patenting rejection, applicant argues that the Sunago reference shows a chamber 309 located outside chamber 301, which does not anticipate the instant invention. However, the instant claims do not set forth theat the secondary chamber be located inside the primary chamber. Applicant uses the language "holds" which does not exclude the possibility that the two chambers are connected outside of one another. With regard to the mixing operation of claim 1, the limitation is newly-presented, and has not been entered.